Exhibit 6

Lundberg, David R 9/25/2008 9:12:00 AM

| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|----|---|
| | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS |
| 2 | TYLER DIVISION |
| 3 | Case No. 6:07-cv-607 |
| 4 | SFA SYSTEMS, LLC, |
| 5 | Plaintiff, |
| 6 | V. |
| 7 | INFOR GLOBAL SOLUTIONS, |
| 8 | Defendant. |
| 9 | |
| | VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF: DAVID ROBERT LUNDBERG |
| 10 | September 25, 2008 |
| | (ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY) |
| 11 | |
| 12 | PURSUANT TO NOTICE AND SUBPOENA, the |
| | videotape deposition of DAVID ROBERT LUNDBERG was |
| 13 | taken on behalf of the Defendant at 1200 17th Street, |
| | Suite 1900, Denver, Colorado 80202, on September 25, |
| 14 | 2008, at 9:12 a.m., before Sharon L. Szotak, Registered |
| | Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and |
| 15 | Notary Public within Colorado. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

Lundberg, David R 9/25/2008 9:12:00 AM

- 1 Q. The next paragraph down, you can see the
- 2 word "determining" is in brackets. So that was removed
- 3 from the claim by this amendment, and "inferring
- 4 occurrence of the event" was added in. Do you see
- 5 that?
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. Do you know if you were involved in the
- 8 decision to make that change?
- 9 A. No, I don't recall.
- 10 Q. Do you know the significance of that
- 11 change?
- 12 MR. EDMONDS: Objection to form.
- A. No. I don't know what the significance of
- that change is, or what the purpose of it was.
- 15 Q. Do you think inferring occurrence of the
- 16 event is different than determining?
- 17 MR. EDMONDS: Objection, form.
- 18 A. You know, I don't know what the difference
- 19 in that would be.
- Q. That's all I'm going to ask you about that
- 21 document.
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. Are you a named inventor on any other
- 24 patents?
- A. I don't believe so.